

## EYES ONLY

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)				
New Headquarters Building				
FROM: <input type="text"/>		EXTENSION	NO.	
Associate General Counsel		<input type="text"/>	DATE 25 October 1982	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. D/L <input type="text"/>	28 Oct		<i>[Signature]</i>	STAT
2. <i>Ed</i>	10/26	26/10	<i>EM</i>	STAT
3. <i>Walt</i>	10/26	10/26	<i>W</i>	STAT
4. <i>C/BTS</i>			<i>[Signature]</i>	STAT
5.				<p><i>What's Dave's problem? I thought he was satisfied with current direction. Pls resolve or let's talk. The</i></p> <p><i>← file A&amp;E Negotiation</i></p>
6.				
7.				
8.				
9. <i>IL</i>				
10.				
11. <i>Regraded unclassified when separated from attachment (s)</i>				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

EYES ONLY

- EYES ONLY -

25 October 1982

NOTE FOR: Director of Logistics

FROM: [redacted]  
Associate General Counsel

STAT

SUBJECT: New Headquarters Building

Dan,

1. I received a phone call from [redacted] on Friday, 22 October 1982, wherein he expressed some concern about proceeding with negotiations without all the information he feels is necessary. I believe that his call to me was in the nature of a "sounding board." Frankly, if he believes that he needs the review by an auditor or the consultant formally with the Corps of Engineers, I cannot argue. It is my understanding that he also has telephoned [redacted]

STAT

2. [redacted] suggested a briefing of you, [redacted] and myself. You may want to request such a briefing soon, because I have a feeling, maybe without any basis, that we are not all marching to the same drummer. Given the size of the project, tempered by the fact that no one penny has yet been appropriated, I recommend that all key players be briefed by Building Planning Staff as a staff, rather than individually.

STAT

STAT

STAT

- EYES ONLY -